OMP No.516 of 2018 in
Ex. Petition No.9 of 2000

30.10.2018 Present: Mr.Ashok Sood, Advocate, for non-applicant/Decree Holder.

Mr.Bhuvnesh Sharma, Advocate, for the applicant/ Judgment Debtor.

Learned counsel for the non-applicant/Decree Holder endorses the averments made in the application and under instructions of the Decree Holder, has conveyed no objection to release/discharge the property from the attachment.

It is stated in the application that decree passed in Civil Suit No.171 of 1995 stands executed/satisfied with the payment of amount as compromise between the parties, resulting into dismissal of execution petition vide order dated 10.7.2003, wherein the property in question was attached.

In view of this statement of learned counsel for non-applicant/Decree Holder under instructions of the Decree Holder, the decree stands satisfied and therefore, the attachment in pursuance to the order dated 28.4.2000, passed in Execution Petition No.9 of 2000, shall be deemed to have been withdrawn and accordingly, property is released from the said attachment order. In case Judgment Debtor intends to have the proclamation in this regard, he may deposit the expenses for the same. On being depositing the expenses, copy of proclamation to this effect shall be affixed in the manner as

prescribed in Order 21, Rule 54 of C.P.C. Application is allowed and disposed of.

(Vivek Singh Thakur)
Judge

October 30, 2018
(rishi)